

Conclusion

The results of this research provide evidence of the ability of actions to enhance the communication value of talk in designing. Participants of a seating clinic incorporate artefacts into their conversations and act on the artefacts as part of the idea generation process, and apply this action to aid the communication process. Action with artefacts can be inspiring to designers by providing opportunities for serendipitous play as well as purposeful investigative activity within the design space. Speakers place reliance on actions to support and make lucid their talk. The over-arching significance of artefacts is that they aid communication between participants by providing props for a visual channel that supports oral communication. Cooperation based on sharing artefacts is a major strength of face-to-face interaction. Participants can experience artefacts and observe others using artefacts. A simple model is proposed depicting the interaction with artefacts that enhance talk and promulgate further talk based on experience of the artefact or observation of it. The expansion of this simple model with a concatenation of multiple, sequential observations and experiences producing an outcome – an accumulation of information, knowledge, answers, understanding, ideas, and potentially a design – is also proposed.

Notes

1. US Public Law 99-506 defines rehabilitation engineering as the systematic application of technologies, engineering methodologies, or scientific principles to meet the needs of and address the barriers confronted by individuals with handicaps in areas which include education, rehabilitation, employment, transportation, independent living and recreation.
2. Talk transcription was restricted to oral output that conveyed information about the client, equipment, etc. Talking of a social nature, humour, etc. was not transcribed and was not included in analysis.
3. Action is defined as purposeful hand and body movements performed with or without artefacts.
4. An event is defined as the occurrence of action performed in silence, or talk, or talk combined with action by a participant. An event continued for the time a participant was speaking and/or acting.

References

- Bailetti, AJ and PF Litva 1995. Integrating customer requirements into product designs. *Journal of Product Innovation and Management* 12:3-15.
- Dix, A 1994. Computer supported cooperative work: A framework. In *Design issues in CSCW*, edited by D Rosenberg and C Hutchinson. New York: Springer.
- Furlong, TJ 1997. Virtual reality sculpture using free-form surface deformation. In *Proceedings DETC '97*. Paper #DFM4511, ASME Design engineering technical conferences, Sacramento, CA (Sept 14-17 1997).
- Goodwin, C and MH Goodwin 1996. Seeing as a situated activity: Formulating planes. In *Cognition and communication at work*, edited by Y Engeström and D Middleton. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, pp 61-95.
- Harrison, S and S Minneman 1996. A bike in hand: A study of 3-D objects in design. In *Analysing design activity*, edited by N Cross, H Christiaans, and K Dorst. London: John Wiley & Sons, pp 417-436.
- Heath, C 1997. The analysis of activities in face to face interaction using video. In *Qualitative research: Theory, method and practice*, edited by D Silverman. London: Sage Publications, pp 183-200.

- Horton, GI 1997. Prototyping and mechanical engineering. PhD Thesis, University of Queensland.
- Kleifgen, JA and P Frenz-Belkin 1997. Assembling knowledge. *Research on Language and Social Interaction* 30(2):157–192.
- Krovi, VG, G Ananthasuresh, V Kumar, and J Veziar 1997. Design and prototyping of rehabilitation aids. In Proceedings DETC '97 paper #DETC97/DFM-4361, ASME Design engineering technical conferences, Sacramento, CA (September 14–17, 1997).
- Logan GD 1999. An investigation of talk, action and use of artefacts by a cross-discipline rehabilitation engineering team. PhD Thesis, University of Queensland, 1999.
- Luff, P, C Heath, and D Greatbach 1994. Work, interaction and technology: The naturalistic analysis of human conduct and requirements analysis. In *Requirements engineering*, edited by M Jirotko and JA Goguen. London: Academic Press, pp 259–288.
- Peräkylä, A 1997. Reliability and validity in research based on tapes and transcripts. In *Qualitative research*, edited by D Silverman. London: Sage Publications, pp 201–220.
- Radcliffe, DF 1996. Concurrency of actions, ideas and knowledge displays within a design team. In *Analysing design activity*, edited by N Cross, H Christiaans, and K Dorst. London: John Wiley & Sons, pp 343–364.
- Radcliffe DF and P Harrison 1994. Transforming design practice in a small manufacturing enterprise. In *Design theory and methodology*, edited by TK Hight and F Mistree. ASME Vol. DE-68, pp 91–98.
- Radcliffe, DF and P Slattery 1993. Video as a change agent in a cross-discipline design team. In *Proceedings: International conference on engineering design (August 17–19, 1993)*. The Hague: ICED '93, pp 319–326.
- Tang, JC 1991. Findings from observational studies of collaborative work. *International Journal of Man–Machine Studies* 34:143–160.
- Tartar, D 1989. Using video-based observations to shape the design of a new technology. *SIGCHI Bulletin* 21 (2): 108–11.
- Tatar, DG, G Foster, and DG Bobrow 1991. Design for conversation: Lessons from Cognoter. *International Journal of Man–Machine Studies* 34:185–209.
- Whittaker, S and B O'Conaill 1997. The role of vision in face-to-face and mediated communication. In *Video-mediated communication*, edited by KE Finn, AJ Sellen, and SB Wilbur. Mahwah, New Jersey: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, pp 23–49.